

### **UVic CES Faculty Report for Courses Offered in HS (201501)**

**UVic Course Experience Survey - Fall 2014/Spring 2015** 


Project Audience 4948 Responses Received 1975 Response Ratio 40%

Creation Date Wed, May 13, 2015




# I Instructor's Teaching - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:


1. The instructor was prepared for course sessions




2. The instructor's explanations	of concepts were
clear	




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1987
Mean	4.42
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.80




3. The instructor motivated you to learn in this course




4. The instructor was available to answer your
questions or provide extra assistance as required




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1984
Mean	4.16
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.06



5. The instructor ensured that your assignments and tests were returned within a reasonable time



6. The instructor was helpful in providing feedback
to you to improve your learning in this course




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1991
Mean	4.30
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.97


Statistics	Value
Response Count	1987
Mean	4.20
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-1.02

- 7. The instructor demonstrated respect for
- 8. Overall, the instructor was effective in this

#### CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (HS 201501)

#### students and their ideas






Statistics	Value
Response Count	1989
Mean	4.47
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.87

Statistics	Value
Response Count	1987
Mean	4.32
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.94


### Il Course Design - Students' Ratings on the Following Statements:

1. The course structure, goals and requirements were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1968
Mean	4.07
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.95

The assigned work helped your understanding of the course content




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1962
Mean	4.08
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.97

5. The methods of assessment used to evaluate your learning in the course were fair




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1966
Mean	4.12
Median	4.00

2. The materials provided for learning the course content (e.g. handouts, posted material, lab manuals) were clear




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1962
Mean	4.11
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.92

4. The course provided opportunities for you to become engaged with the course material, for example through class discussions, group work, student presentations, on-line chat, or experiential learning



Statistics	Value
Response Count	1963
Mean	4.21
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.94

6. The course provided relevant skills and information (e.g. to other courses, your future career, or other contexts)




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1963
Mean	4.24



Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.91


### 7. Overall, the course offered an effective learning experience




Statistics	Value
Response Count	1963
Mean	4.18
Median	4.00
Standard Deviation	+/-0.94

#### **III Statements About The Students:**


#### My primary reason for taking the course.




#### The approximate number of classes or labs that I did not attend




#### Relative to other courses I have taken at UVic, the workload in this course was



# The approximate number of hours per week I spent studying for this course outside of class time:




#### As a result of my experience in this course, my interest in the material:




#### **IV Additional Statments:**


#### The classes began on time.



#### The course content prepared you for the assignments and/or exam.




#### The instructor made good use of the course pack and/or text.




The instructor helped to keep discussions focused, relevant and coherent.


#### CES Roll-up by Faculty Code Report (HS 201501)




#### I would take another class from instructor.




#### The goals for this course were clear and relevant to my learning.




The textbook and/or readings supported my learning.




#### The assignments were appropriate for the goals of the course.




### The student discussions and learning activities enhanced my learning.




The practica course provided opportunities to demonstrate what I had learned (For practice courses only).




### Overall, I would rate my experience in the Program so far as:




# I would rate the ease of use of online resources (e.g., the Moodle site, discussion forums, etc.) as:




I rate the navigability of the online course materials as




# The online technologies (discussion boards, websites, software, etc.) enhanced my understanding of the course content as




## Overall, would rate the effectiveness of the orientation module to prepare me for the first term as



Overall, I would rate my experience in the MACD program so far as



### Overall, I would rate my experience in the Co-op program so far as:



# Overall, I would rate my experience in the MPA/MADR/ Diploma/Certificate/ Minor so far as:

